INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LEGAL AFFAIRS AND EXPLORATION

Volume 3 | Issue 1

2025

Website: <u>www.ijlae.com</u> Email: <u>editor@ijlae.com</u>

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS: A COMPREHENSIVE EXPLORATION OF THE CHILD LABOUR CRISIS IN INDIA

Ms. Mansi Trivedi

Assistant Professor, Amity Law School, Amity University, MP

Sourabh Bhadouriya

Amity Law School, Amity University, MP

ABSTRACT

This study examines in detail the complex and pervasive problem of child labour in India, which persists in spite of decades of legal, social, and policy-driven attempts to eradicate it. The study examines the socioeconomic and historical causes of child labour, placing it in the larger framework of social inequality, unemployment, poverty, and lack of access to high-quality education. More significantly, it examines the effectiveness and sufficiency of both domestic laws and international commitments that India has ratified, concentrating on the complex legal aspects of the matter. From colonial-era rules to contemporary legislative enactments like the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 and its revisions, as well as the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, the article charts the development of child labour legislation in India.¹ It critically examines the advantages and disadvantages of the current legal system, pointing out any overlaps, ambiguities, or holes that prevent children from having unified and enforceable legal protection. Additionally, this study explores significant rulings from the Supreme Court and High Courts that have influenced the legal discussion surrounding child labour, highlighting the judiciary's proactive role in interpreting and upholding constitutional mandates like Articles 21A, 24 and 39(e) and (f). ²It also assesses

¹ Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986; Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009.

² M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756; Labourers Working on Salal Hydro Project v. State of J&K, (1984) 3 SCC 538; Sanjit Roy v. State of Rajasthan, (1983) 1 SCC 525; Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1; Constitution of India, 1950, arts. 21A, 24 & 39(e)-(f).

the difficulties regulatory bodies encounter in enforcing the law, such as corruption, poor infrastructure, a lack of agency coordination, and a lack of political will. The report highlights these operational and structural barriers and provides a thorough set of policy recommendations to improve inter-agency collaboration, fortify institutional processes, and advance a child-centric approach to governance. It also emphasises how crucial community involvement, public awareness campaigns, grassroots projects, and non-governmental organisations' (NGOs') involvement are to developing a comprehensive and long-lasting strategy for ending child labour.

By providing a comprehensive and multifaceted understanding of the institutional, social, and legal factors that sustain child labour in India and by suggesting workable solutions to close the gap between the law and practice, this research ultimately seeks to contribute to the ongoing academic and policy discourse.

INTRODUCTION

In India, child work is still a major socio-legal and humanitarian issue and is one of the most serious and enduring breaches of children's rights. It undercuts the essential tenets of national and international legal frameworks that support children's safety, well-being, and overall development. The widespread practice of child labour locks children in intergenerational cycles of poverty, social exclusion, and exploitation in addition to depriving them of their inalienable rights to education, healthcare, leisure, and personal development. These children's physical, emotional, and cognitive development is hampered by the dangerous working conditions, physical and psychological abuse, and ongoing deprivation they frequently endure. Child labour is nevertheless widely practiced in spite of numerous laws that specifically forbid it, such as the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (as modified), and constitutional clauses like Articles 21A, 24, and 39³. It still flourishes, especially in the unregulated and informal sectors with little to no legal control, like domestic employment, roadside restaurants, small-scale industries, family-run businesses, and agriculture. Detection and intervention are made even more difficult by these unobserved and concealed surroundings. This ongoing issue calls into question the effectiveness, implementation, and practical implications of India's legislative and policy frameworks aimed at ending child labour.

³ Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (as amended); Constitution of India, 1950, arts. 21A, 24 & 39.

It demands a careful analysis of the discrepancies between the law and reality, the difficulties with institutional enforcement systems, and the socioeconomic factors that support child work in spite of legal prohibitions.⁴ In addition, it forces a reconsideration of existing tactics and a focus on rights-oriented, community-based, and integrative methods to guarantee the effective and long-lasting elimination of child labour in India.

UNDERSTANDING CHILD LABOUR

Child labour is defined by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) as work that is harmful to children's mental, physical, social, or moral development, or that interferes with their education by denying them the chance to attend school, forcing them to leave school early, or forcing them to try to balance school attendance with unduly heavy and prolonged work. This thorough description emphasises the complex nature of child work, including the wider developmental problems it causes in addition to the economic exploitation of children. By making a distinction between "children" (defined as those under 14 years of age) and "adolescents" (those between 14 and 18 years of age), the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986, as substantially amended in 2016, offers a complex framework in the Indian legal setting.⁵ In recognition of teenagers' developing abilities and the necessity for age-appropriate legal protections, the modified Act expressly forbids the employment of minors in any profession or procedure and limits their employment to nonhazardous jobs. This dual classification is indicative of a practical strategy that aims to strike a compromise between the necessity of child safety and economic realities. Nevertheless, even though these statutory criteria provide the legal framework for recognising and controlling child labour, they frequently fail to adequately convey the intricate and geographically particular realities of child labor's persistence throughout India.⁶ Child labour can take many different forms, from obvious ones like children working in factories and mines to more covert and informal ones like domestic work, farming, and family-run businesses. These variations are caused by a number of factors, including socioeconomic inequality, cultural norms, family

⁴ Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (as amended); Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009; M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756; Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1; Constitution of India, 1950, arts. 21A, 24 & 39(e)-(f).

⁵ Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (as amended by the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Amendment Act, 2016).

⁶ Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (as amended 2016); Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009; Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1; M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756.

expectations, a lack of access to high-quality education, and inadequate state oversight. Furthermore, although the legislative structure appears progressive on paper, its enforcement procedures frequently lack clarity, which leaves a great deal of space for interpretation and evasion. For example, there are loopholes that can be and frequently are exploited by the exemption that permits youngsters to work in family businesses or the entertainment industry under specific circumstances. Therefore, in order to properly comprehend and address the issue of child labour in India, legal definitions and statutory distinctions—while crucial—must be placed within the larger socioeconomic, cultural, and administrative context.⁷

SOCIO-ECONOMIC CAUSES OF CHILD LABOUR

It is impossible to consider child work in India in a vacuum, apart from the larger social and economic systems that sustain poverty and inequality. Millions of youngsters are forced into exploitative and frequently unseen types of labour as a result of a number of deeply ingrained structural problems. The following important elements play a major role in the continued prevalence of child labour nationwide:

- **Poverty**: Still a major contributor to child labour, poverty is arguably the most obvious and direct issue. Children's extra money is often seen as vital for survival by families that are struggling to satisfy their basic necessities. In these situations, especially when survival is at risk, the financial contribution of a working youngster may exceed the anticipated long-term advantages of formal schooling.
- Lack of access to high-quality education: Although education is guaranteed by the constitution, many Indian schools struggle with insufficient facilities, underqualified or absentee teachers, packed classrooms, and a lack of kid-friendly learning spaces. Families are discouraged from taking their children to school by these shortcomings as well as the indirect expenditures of education (such as supplies, transportation, and uniforms). Children become more susceptible to joining the workforce too soon as a result of dropping school or never enrolling.
- Adult unemployment and underemployment: Child labour has become more commonplace due to high adult unemployment and underemployment rates,

⁷ Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (as amended); Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009; M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756; Government of India, National Child Labour Project Report (latest edition).

particularly in rural and economically disadvantaged areas. Children, who are viewed as supplemental earners despite their susceptibility, frequently bear the brunt of financial strain when older household members are unable to find stable or sufficient job.

- Cultural and traditional customs: Child labour is not only accepted as a social and familial tradition in many rural and semi-urban areas, but it is also condoned. It's common to see children working alongside their parents in family-run businesses, artisanal crafts, or agriculture as training rather than exploitation. Because child employment is viewed as a harmless or even advantageous activity, these deeply ingrained cultural norms impede social transformation and legal enforcement.⁸
- Unregulated informal sectors: The informal sector, which makes up a sizable section of India's economy, is mostly immune to regulatory scrutiny and labour inspectors. Children who work in this industry, whether in domestic service, small workshops, brick kilns, or roadside booths, usually do so without contracts, safety precautions, or employer accountability.⁹ Because such employment is invisible, intervention and reparation are very difficult.
- Gender inequality: Discrimination based on gender exacerbates the issue. Particularly impacted are girls, who frequently perform low-wage or unpaid domestic labour in both their own and other people's homes. Often viewed as extensions of their intended responsibilities, these activities are often overlooked, ignored, and disregarded by legal frameworks. As a result, one of the most underappreciated features of child employment in India continues to be the workload placed on young girls.

⁸ Government of India, *National Child Labour Project Report* (latest edition); *Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986* (as amended); S. Sen, "Child Labour in India: Socioeconomic Dimensions", 22 Indian Journal of Labour Economics 325 (2019).

⁹ Government of India, *National Child Labour Project Report* (latest edition); *Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986* (as amended); International Labour Organization, *India Child Labour Report* (latest); R. Kumar, "Informal Economy and Child Labour in India," 15 Journal of Social Economics 210 (2020).

LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING CHILD LABOUR IN INDIA

1. Constitutional Provisions:

The Indian Constitution provides a strong legal framework for children's welfare and protection, especially when it comes to preventing economic exploitation and fostering their overall development. A childhood free from abuse, neglect, and forced labour is guaranteed by the Constitution through a combination of non-justiciable Directive Principles of State Policy and enforceable fundamental rights.¹⁰ The problem of child labour and the duty of the State to eradicate it are directly addressed by a number of important provisions:

- Article 24- Prohibition of employment of children in factories, etc: This article expressly forbids the employment of minors under the age of 14 in mines, factories, or other dangerous jobs. It acts as a vital defence against the psychological and physical abuse of children in sectors of the economy that are notorious for their hazardous working conditions. Although it does not outright forbid all types of child labour, it establishes a strong constitutional prohibition against dangerous and damaging labour, serving as the foundation for later laws such as the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act.¹¹
- Article 21A- Right to Education: Article 21A, which was introduced by the 86th Constitutional Amendment Act, 2002, requires the State to offer all children between the ages of 6 and 14 free and compulsory education. This provision pulls children out of the workforce and into schools, so indirectly combating child labour by guaranteeing access to education. Additionally, it imposes a legal duty on the State to provide and preserve an inclusive, easily accessible, and kid-friendly educational environment. This constitutional duty is the reason behind the enactment of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009.
- Article 39(e) and (f)- Directive Principles of State Policy: Articles 39(e) and (f) of Part IV of the Constitution, which place a strong emphasis on child safety, serve

¹⁰ Constitution of India, 1950, arts. 21A, 24, 39(e)-(f), 42; M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756; Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1

¹¹ Constitution of India, 1950, arts. 24 & 39(e)-(f); Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986; M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756; Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1.

as guidelines for the State's policies. According to Article 39(e), the State is required to make sure that workers' health and strength—including those of men, women, and children—are not mistreated and that people are not compelled to pursue occupations that are inappropriate for their age or physical capabilities out of financial need. According to Article 39(f), children must be protected from exploitation and material and moral abandonment, as well as provided with the opportunity and resources to grow up in a healthy way and in an environment of freedom and dignity.¹²

2. Key Legislations:

India has demonstrated its commitment to protecting children's rights and wellbeing by passing a wide range of legislation that either directly or indirectly restrict child work. These laws cover a wide range of topics, including juvenile justice, education, work regulation, and general child safety. They work together to create a complex legal framework that protects children from exploitation and fosters their mental, emotional, and physical growth. The most well-known of these are:

- Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (Amended in 2016)¹³: In India, this is the main law that specifically addresses child labour. Significant modifications were brought about by the 2016 amendment by:
 - i. Outright banning children under the age of 14 from working in any job or procedure.
 - ii. Allowing kids to assist with family businesses and, under some restrictions, work as entertainers.
 - iii. Prohibiting teenagers (ages 14 to 18) from working in dangerous jobs or processes.
 - iv. Imposing harsher sanctions, such as fines and incarceration, for infractions.

This Act, which is a legislative manifestation of both international

¹² Constitution of India, 1950, arts. 24 & 39(e)-(f); Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986; M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756; Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1. ¹³ Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (as amended 2016).

commitments and constitutional requirements, is essential to India's attempt to eradicate child labour.

- **Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 (RTE Act)**: This law, which was passed in accordance with Article 21A of the Constitution, ensures that all children between the ages of 6 and 14 have the right to free and compulsory education.¹⁴ The RTE Act indirectly combats child employment by keeping children out of the workforce and integrating them into the educational system through requirements for school attendance and sanctions for refusal of admission.
- Factories Act, 1948: The employment of children under the age of 14 in factories is expressly forbidden under this Act, which controls work in factories. Adolescents between the ages of 15 and 18 are likewise subject to limitations on their working hours and conditions, and employment requires a fitness certificate and medical verification.¹⁵
- Mines Act, 1952: The employment of minors under the age of eighteen in any mine is severely prohibited by this law. The Act guarantees that minors are totally kept out of the mining industry and enforces strict safety and labour standards for all workers due to the dangerous nature of the profession.¹⁶
- The Apprentices Act, 1961: Although this Act governs apprenticeships and skill development for youth, it forbids the hiring of anyone under the age of 14, which is in line with the general legal minimum age requirement for employment.¹⁷
- **Bonded Labour System (Abolition)** Act, 1976: This Act deems the system of bonded labour unlawful and null and void, including circumstances in which minors are compelled to work as bondage because their family have obligations. It allows for the rehabilitation and release of bonded labourers, many of whom are minors.¹⁸
- The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015: This law identifies every youngster working against the law as a "child in need of care and protection," even though its primary purpose is child welfare and protection. Through Child Welfare Committees and other institutional procedures, it requires that these children receive rehabilitation, care, and housing.¹⁹

¹⁴ Constitution of India, 1950, art. 21A; Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009.

¹⁵ Factories Act, 1948, secs. 67 & 69.

¹⁶ The Mines Act, 1952, secs. 20 & 21.

¹⁷ Apprentices Act, 1961, sec. 7.

¹⁸ Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976, secs. 2, 3 & 7.

¹⁹ Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, secs. 2(14), 29 & 31.

3. International Conventions:

India, as a responsible member of the global community, has ratified and endorsed several key international conventions and treaties that emphasize the elimination of child labour and the promotion of children's rights. These international instruments not only shape India's domestic legal and policy framework but also reinforce its moral and legal obligation to align national efforts with global standards. The most significant among these are:

- International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention No. 138 Minimum Age Convention, 1973: According to this convention, the minimum age for employment or work is generally established at 15 years old (or, in certain cases, 14 years old for developing nations), with higher minimum ages for dangerous jobs. India committed to setting a minimum age for employment in accordance with international standards and gradually raising it as national circumstances allow after ratifying this treaty in June 2017.²⁰
- **ILO Convention No. 182 (Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention)**: The worst types of child labour, such as slavery, trafficking, forced or compulsory labour, child prostitution, the use of minors in illegal activities, and dangerous jobs that could endanger their health, safety, or morals, must be prohibited and eradicated immediately and effectively, according to this convention. In June 2017, India adopted this treaty, demonstrating its resolve to end the cruellest types of child labour as soon as possible.²¹
- United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC): When India ratified the UNCRC in 1992, it committed to defending a wide range of children's rights, such as the right to education (Articles 28 and 29), the right to holistic development and participation, and the right to be shielded from economic exploitation and dangerous labour (Article 32). A comprehensive framework for child welfare is provided by the UNCRC, which also requires States Parties to

²⁰ International Labour Organization Convention No. 138 on Minimum Age, 1973; Government of India, *Ratification of ILO Convention No. 138*, June 2017.

²¹ International Labour Organization Convention No. 182 on the Worst Forms of Child Labour, 1999; Government of India, Ratification of ILO Convention No. 182, June 2017.

implement social, administrative, and legislative measures to shield children from all types of labour exploitation.²²

JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION AND ACTIVISM

Through a number of groundbreaking and innovative rulings, the Indian judiciary has taken a leading and active role in establishing, interpreting, and broadening the application of child labour laws. In addition to clarifying the legal position on child labour, the courts have established recommendations to increase enforcement and guarantee the protection, rehabilitation, and holistic development of affected children by drawing on international commitments and constitutional mandates. Among the most significant rulings made by judges are:

- M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu (1996)²³: This historic case signalled a shift in Indian jurisprudence on child labour. In addressing the issue of children working in dangerous sectors like Sivakasi's matchstick factories, the Supreme Court ruled that it was against Article 24 of the Constitution for children under the age of 14 to work in dangerous jobs. Crucially, the Court gave instructions for:
 - i. The prompt detection and removal of minors from dangerous businesses.
 - ii. The establishment of a kid Labour Rehabilitation Welfare Fund, which requires companies to compensate each kid they employ with ₹20,000.
 - iii. An adult family member of the impacted child must be given a job, or else a monthly payment of ₹5,000 will be made to support the child's education and welfare.

²² United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1989; Government of India, Ratification of UNCRC, 1992.

²³ M.C. Mehta v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1996) 6 SCC 756 (India)

This judgment reinforced the idea that the right against exploitation must be read in conjunction with the right to education and right to life with dignity

- Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India (2011)²⁴: The Supreme Court provided detailed guidelines for the rescue and rehabilitation of children employed in circuses and other exploitative environments in a number of petitions submitted by the child rights NGO Bachpan Bachao Andolan. In order to combat child labour, the Court stressed the significance of putting the Right to Education Act into practice and instructed authorities to:
 - i. enhance anti-trafficking teams.
 - ii. Establish procedures for tracking children.
 - iii. Make sure that all daycare centres are required to register.
- Labourers Working on Salal Hydro Project v. State of Jammu & Kashmir (1984)^{25:} The Court noted that laws that only forbid child work are insufficient if they are not carried out effectively. It emphasised the necessity of proactive state accountability and watchfulness to guarantee that child employment regulations are not broken in both public and private undertakings.
- Sanjit Roy v. State of Rajasthan (1983)²⁶: The Supreme Court ruled in this case that paying wages below the legally mandated minimum wage amounts to "forced labour" under Article 23 of the Constitution, even though it was not a direct case involving child labour. The idea that economic exploitation, regardless of permission, breaches fundamental rights was reinforced by this interpretation, which became pertinent in situations where youngsters are forced to work for little or no remuneration.

²⁴ Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1 (India)

²⁵ Labourers Working on Salal Hydro Project v. State of J&K, (1984) 3 SCC 538 (India)

²⁶ Sanjit Roy v. State of Rajasthan, (1983) 1 SCC 525 (India)

ANALYSIS OF THE 2016 AMENDMENT

The 2016 amendment to the Child Labour Act introduced key changes:

- Outlawed the employment of minors under the age of 14 in all professions, with the exception of family businesses and, under certain restrictions, as artists in the audio-visual entertainment sector.
- Prohibited minors (14–18 years old) from engaging in dangerous jobs. Child rights advocates and legal experts criticised the amendment for its ambiguous definition of "family enterprises" and potential for abuse, despite the fact that it was progressive in certain ways. The exception can result in exploitation under the pretence of family work and undercuts the spirit of the universal prohibition. Furthermore, the application of the law is complicated by ambiguities surrounding monitoring and enforcement procedures.

ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS AND CHALLENGES

Despite having a strong legal and policy framework that is based on both international and constitutional obligations to prevent child labour, India's actual implementation of these rules is nevertheless incredibly lax and uneven. Effective implementation is hampered by a number of institutional, systemic, and sociocultural issues, which leaves a gap between the law and reality on the ground that never goes away. The following are the main enforcement bottlenecks²⁷:

• Lack of inter-agency coordination: The lack of an integrated, multi-stakeholder strategy is one of the main challenges. The Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Women and Child Development, the Ministry of Labour and Employment, and the Ministry of Home Affairs are just a few examples of the ministries that frequently function in silos. Duplication of effort, inadequate rescue and rehabilitation follow-up, and a lack of consistency in policy are all consequences of this disjointed

²⁷ Government of India, *Report on Child Labour: Enforcement Challenges and Policy Gaps*, Ministry of Labour and Employment (latest edition); National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, *Report on Child Rights Implementation* (latest); R. Singh, "Bridging the Gap Between Law and Practice in Child Labour Regulation in India," 22 Indian Journal of Human Rights 98 (2023).

International Journal of Legal Affairs and Exploration ISSN (O): 2584-2196

structure. This fragmentation is made worse by the lack of a central nodal body or task force dedicated only to child labour.²⁸

- Inadequate manpower: One major obstacle is the lack of qualified and committed labour inspectors. Government data indicate that there is a stark lack of inspectors in each district, particularly in the areas where child labour is most common—rural, remote, and informal. Even when inspections are carried out, officials frequently lack the requisite child protection training or are overworked, making it difficult for them to recognise and address child labour cases. Furthermore, on-ground monitoring has unintentionally decreased as a result of labour law changes' increased dependence on digital self-compliance procedures.²⁹
- **Poor data systems**: There is a dearth of trustworthy, current, and broken-down statistics on child labour in India. It becomes very challenging to identify hotspots, monitor progress, distribute resources, or create focused interventions without localised, real-time data, particularly data that is broken down by age, gender, occupation, and area. The issue is made worse by the absence of an integrated system for tracking and monitoring child labour, which jeopardises efforts at prevention and rehabilitation.
- Social stigma and lack of awareness: Child labour is accepted and even justified in many communities as a vital family survival strategy. It's possible that parents are ignorant of the long-term effects on their child's future or the illegality of their child's employment. At the same time, families and community members are discouraged from reporting cases due to fear of economic loss, harassment, or retaliation. Because domestic employment is invisible and informal, breaches frequently go unreported or overlooked in industries like domestic work, agriculture, and home-based businesses.³⁰

 ²⁸ Government of India, *Report on Child Labour in India: Challenges and Way Forward*, Ministry of Labour and Employment (latest edition); National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, *Annual Report* (latest).
²⁹ Government of India, *Report on Labour Enforcement and Inspection*, Ministry of Labour and Employment (latest edition); National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, *Annual Report on Child Labour Enforcement* (latest); S. Patel, "Challenges in Labour Inspection for Child Labour in India," 18 Indian Journal of Labour Studies 144 (2022).

³⁰ Government of India, *Socioeconomic Factors Influencing Child Labour in India*, Ministry of Labour and Employment (latest); UNICEF India, *Child Labour and Social Norms Report*, 2022; S. Verma, "Cultural Acceptance and Underreporting of Child Labour in India," 15 Journal of Social Policy 67 (2021).

• **Corruption and political interference**: One of the main obstacles has always been identified as corruption in local police, enforcement agencies, and political patronage networks. A culture of impunity is maintained when criminals are frequently not prosecuted or are permitted to resolve disputes amicably through bribery or influence. Furthermore, persistent enforcement is hampered by local vested interests, particularly in regions where the economy depends on cheap child labour.³¹

ROLE OF NGOS AND CIVIL SOCIETY

India's battle against child labour has been greatly aided by non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and civil society actors, who frequently fill the gaps left by state procedures. In addition to addressing urgent service delivery shortages, their actions have influenced legislative changes, public policy, and implementation tactics pertaining to the protection of children's rights and the elimination of child labour. These groups work on a variety of levels, including legal, social, educational, and policy, and their contributions fall into the following main categories:

- **Rescue Operations and Rehabilitation Programs:** Finding, saving, and rehabilitating child labourers from dangerous workplaces like mines, factories, and street hawking has been a direct responsibility of numerous NGOs. These children receive medical and psychological care after being rescued, are placed in transit rehabilitation facilities, and are then reintegrated into official educational institutions. ³² Over 100,000 children have been saved by Bachpan Bachao Andolan (BBA), for instance, through well-coordinated operations, frequently in collaboration with law enforcement.
- Grassroots Awareness Campaigns: Sensitisation at the community level is a major component of the NGO's work. NGOs have attempted to alter cultural perceptions

³¹ Government of India, *Report on Corruption and Child Labour Enforcement*, Central Vigilance Commission (latest); Transparency International India, *Corruption and Labour Rights in India*, 2023; A. Kumar, "Political Patronage and Child Labour in India," 19 Indian Journal of Political Science 112 (2022)

³² National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, *Role of NGOs in Child Labour Rehabilitation*, Annual Report (latest); Save the Children India, *Annual Report on Child Labour Rescue and Rehabilitation*, 2023; R. Mehta, "NGOs and Child Labour in India," 14 Journal of Social Work 77 (2022).

that normalise child employment, especially in economically disadvantaged and socially marginalised groups, through street plays, seminars, school outreach initiatives, and local media. These campaigns raise awareness of various laws, including the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, the Child Labour Act, and the Right to Education Act.³³

- Legal Advocacy and Public Interest Litigation (PILs): NGOs have brought systemic issues into the public and legal spotlight by using the judiciary as a potent tool. NGOs and child rights advocates filed petitions that resulted to a number of historic rulings on child labour, trafficking, and education. ³⁴The Supreme Court and High Courts have expanded constitutional rights and enforced stronger child labour standards as a result of the persistent legal action of groups like BBA.
- Monitoring and Policy Advocacy: Additionally, civil society organisations serve as watchdogs, keeping an eye on how child welfare programs like Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA), the Mid-Day Meal Scheme, and the National Child Labour Project (NCLP) are being implemented. They draw attention to enforcement shortcomings, financial mismanagement, and coverage limitations³⁵. They advocate for evidencebased changes and more robust accountability systems through policy briefs, research studies, and meetings with government agencies.
- Capacity Building and Training: To help local officials, police officers, schoolteachers, and labour inspectors better detect and address child labour instances, numerous NGOs offer training programs. In order to provide sustainable alternatives for re-entry into the workforce, they also assist teenagers who have been rescued from exploitative labour in developing their skills and receiving vocational training.

³³ Ministry of Women and Child Development, *Awareness Campaigns on Child Rights and Protection*, Government of India (latest); UNICEF India, *Child Labour Legal Awareness Initiatives*, 2023.

 ³⁴ National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, Judicial Activism and Child Labour: Role of NGOs, Annual Report (latest); S. Desai, "NGOs and Public Interest Litigation on Child Labour in India," 21 Indian Journal of Constitutional Law 55 (2023); Bachpan Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2011) 5 SCC 1.
³⁵ UNICEF India, Civil Society Monitoring of Child Welfare Programs, 2023; Ministry of Education, Report on Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan Implementation (latest); National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, Evaluation Report on NCLP, 2023.

NOTABLE ORGANIZATIONS AND IMPACT

- **Bachpan Bachao Andolan (BBA)**: At the vanguard of India's child rights campaign, BBA was founded by Nobel Laureate Kailash Satyarthi. By use of strategic litigation and large-scale mobilisation campaigns like the Bharat Yatra, its efforts have led to both direct rescues and reforms in law and policy.³⁶
- Child Rights and You (CRY): CRY focusses on the underlying causes of child labour through its significant efforts in campaigning, education, and child protection. In order to keep kids in school and out of exploitative jobs, it collaborates with neighbourhood NGOs and community organisations.³⁷
- Save the Children India: Save the Children is a multi-state organisation that prioritises holistic child development, which includes advocacy, education, and protection. Additionally, it collaborates with state governments to carry out extensive initiatives meant to stop child labour³⁸.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND WAY FORWARD

Beyond harsh legal measures, a complete, multifaceted approach is needed to address India's intricate and pervasive child labour problem. It must address the administrative, cultural, and socioeconomic elements that contribute to child labour while also creating an environment that encourages empowerment, education, and rehabilitation. The following suggestions are meant to offer both immediate fixes and long-term structural changes:

- Strengthening Law Enforcement Mechanisms: The success of child labour laws depends on their effective enforcement. This comprises:
 - i. Boosting the quantity and capability of labour inspectors with training, especially in the unorganised and rural sectors.
 - ii. Ensuring follow-up audits, surprise visits, and routine inspections.

³⁶ Bachpan Bachao Andolan, *Annual Report on Child Rights and Rescue Operations*, 2023; Kailash Satyarthi Foundation, *Impact of Bharat Yatra Campaign*, 2023; R. Sharma, "The Role of BBA in Shaping Child Labour Legislation in India," 16 Indian Journal of Human Rights 123 (2022).

³⁷ Child Rights and You (CRY), Annual Report on Child Labour Prevention and Education Initiatives, 2023; UNICEF India, Partnerships for Child Protection and Education, 2022.

³⁸ Save the Children India, *Annual Report on Child Protection and Anti-Child Labour Programs*, 2023; Ministry of Women and Child Development, *Collaborative Initiatives with NGOs for Child Labour Eradication*, 2022.

- iii. Providing state labour departments and child protection units with sufficient financial and logistical support.
- iv. Promoting collaborations between the police and NGOs to carry out coordinated rescues and prosecutions.
- Revisiting and amending the 2016 Child Labour Act: Even while the 2016 amendment brought forth a number of beneficial modifications, some legal inconsistencies and gaps nevertheless make it less effective. Important changes ought to consist of:
 - i. Reducing or eliminating the "family enterprise" exception, which has drawn a lot of criticism for permitting covert child labour.
 - ii. Extending the list of dangerous jobs for teenagers in light of sectoral hazards and recent data.
 - Strengthening licensing and compliance standards for sectors that have a track record of violating child labour laws.³⁹
- Strengthening Educational Incentives and Retention Strategies: The most effective strategy for permanently ending child labour is still education. The state needs to:
 - i. To promote school enrolment and retention, offer conditional financial transfers, free textbooks, bicycles, uniforms, and better access to midday meals.
 - ii. To make education a feasible and alluring alternative to employment, public schools should upgrade their facilities and instruction.
 - iii. To make it easier for rescued children to reintegrate into regular education, expand bridging courses and transit education facilities.
- **Promoting Vocational Training and Skill Development:** Context-appropriate vocational training can be a safer and more respectable option for older kids, particularly those over 14, than exploitative employment. This comprises:

³⁹ S. Gupta, Critical Review of the 2016 Amendment to the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, Centre for Labour Studies, Working Paper (2023); National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, Recommendations for Strengthening Child Labour Laws, 2023; Human Rights Watch, Hidden Exploitation: Family Enterprises and Child Labour in India, 2022.

- i. Connecting kids with government initiatives like the National Apprenticeship Promotion Scheme (NAPS) and the Skill India Mission.
- ii. Ensuring that vocational training is delivered in secure, controlled settings and does not conflict with their academic programs.⁴⁰
- Deepening Community Participation and Behavioral Change⁴¹: The community itself must be the source of sustainable transformation. Therefore, it is essential to:
 - Involve local influencers, religious leaders, school management committees (SMCs), and panchayats in raising awareness of the risks and illegality of child work.
 - ii. Start door-to-door outreach initiatives and regional mass media campaigns to alter societal attitudes that support child labour.
 - iii. As the first line of defence, acknowledge and support the function of community-based child protection committees (CPCs).
- Leveraging Technology and Data Systems⁴²: Technology can greatly enhance efforts in reporting, monitoring, and rehabilitation. Among the crucial actions are:
 - i. Creating comprehensive, real-time digital tools for tracking rescued children, reporting child labour incidents, and keeping an eye on follow-up activities.
 - Finding child labour hotspots and allocating inspection priorities based on AI and GIS techniques.
 - iii. Use centralised databases to improve coordination between child welfare services, law enforcement, and child helplines (such as 1098).

CONCLUSION

India's ongoing child labour problem goes beyond simple legal noncompliance; it is a sign of systemic governance shortcomings, cultural acceptance, and ingrained socioeconomic

⁴⁰ Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship, *Guidelines on Apprenticeship Training for Youth*, Government of India (2023); National Institute of Labour Studies, *Vocational Training and Child Labour Prevention*, Policy Brief (2023).

⁴¹ UNICEF India, *Community Engagement and Behavioural Change Strategies in Child Labour Prevention*, 2023; Ministry of Women and Child Development, *Community-Based Approaches to Child Protection*, Government of India (2022).

⁴² Ministry of Labour and Employment, *Digital Initiatives for Monitoring Child Labour*, Government of India Report (2023); UNICEF India, *Using Technology and Data for Child Protection*, 2023.

International Journal of Legal Affairs and Exploration ISSN (O): 2584-2196

inequality. Even having a well-defined legal system, constitutional protections, and India's ratification of international agreements like ILO Conventions No. 138 and 182, there are still many irregularities and structural flaws in the way things are being implemented ⁴³. Fundamentally, child labour in India is a result of the intricate interactions between societal norms, administrative inertia, poverty, and limited access to high-quality education. Even if legislation is essential, it cannot result in long-lasting change unless it is incorporated within a larger framework of social justice and inclusive growth. Proactive enforcement, extensive rehabilitation programs, and strong social safety nets for disadvantaged families must be implemented in tandem with legal reforms. A multifaceted, cooperative strategy is needed to move forward, one that incorporates the work of local communities, civil society organisations, government agencies, the judiciary, and private persons. Expanding educational reforms is necessary to guarantee not only access but also retention and quality. Raising awareness in the community and involving the grassroots must question and alter the social acceptance of child labour.⁴⁴ To respond successfully, child protection systems also need to be locally accessible, technologically advanced, and well-resourced. In the end, ending child labour must be viewed as a moral and constitutional requirement rather than just a developmental objective. India can only guarantee a future in which all children are free to study, develop, and flourish in dignity unencumbered by the bonds of labour and exploitation—by making consistent, comprehensive, and rights-based efforts.45

⁴³ Human Rights Watch, *Child Labour in India: Governance Challenges and Socioeconomic Factors*, 2023; International Labour Organization, *India's Progress Report on ILO Conventions No. 138 and 182*, 2023; National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, *Structural Barriers to Effective Enforcement of Child Labour Laws*, 2022.

⁴⁴ UNICEF India, *Integrated Strategies for Child Labour Eradication: Community and Institutional Roles*, 2023; Ministry of Education, *Educational Reforms for Child Retention and Quality*, Government of India (2022); Centre for Child Rights, *Grassroots Mobilisation and Behavioural Change in Child Labour*, 2023.

⁴⁵ National Human Rights Commission of India, *Report on Rights-Based Approaches to Child Labour Eradication*, 2023; UNICEF India, *Promoting Child Rights for Sustainable Development*, 2023; Human Rights Watch, *Child Labour and Human Rights in India*, 2022.